

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/660,916	09/12/2003	Willy Furrer	148505-1	7340		
43246	7590 03/10/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
GEAM - SILICONES - 60SI			RABAGO, ROBERTO			
IP LEGAL ONE PLASTI	CO AVENTIE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	, MA 01201-3697		1713			
			DATE MAILED: 03/10/2006	6		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	No. Applicant(s)			
		10/660	0,916	FURRER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary			ner	Art Unit			
		Robert	o Rábago	1713			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	ation appears on	the cover sheet with the d	correspondence ad	idress		
WHIC - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAINS IN THE MAINS IN THE MAINS OF THE MONTHS From the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the part of the part of the property of the Office later than three months after the part of the p	LING DATE OF 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ication. ory period will apply an I, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNICATION of event, however, may a reply be tind d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL . 2b Since this application is in condition fo closed in accordance with the practice	☐ This action in allowance exce	s non-final. ept for formal matters, pro		e merits is		
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicat i 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the appear of the above claim(s) 14-27 is/are claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3-5,7-13 and 28-33 is/are reclaim(s) 2 and 6 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction on Papers The specification is objected to by the Entry that any objection replacement drawing sheet(s) including the Control of the Oath or declaration is objected to by the Control of the Oath or declaration is objected to be control of the Oath or	ejected. Examiner. I) accepted or to the drawing(n requirement. b)□ objected to by the lest be held in abeyance. See the lest be the drawing(s) is objected if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Cl	` '		
-	•	,			. •		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2)	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	D-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/660,916 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

1. Prior rejection over Dawans et al. is withdrawn in view of argument directed to the presence of water in the reference method.

2. Prior rejection of claims 2 and 4 under 35 USC 112(2) is withdrawn in view of amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 3 (and claim 5 by dependency), the meaning of R is indefinite because it has been given two alternative definitions. Furthermore, the definition of R' has not been provided in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. Claims 1, 3-5, 7-13 and 28-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Roodvoets (US 3,939,133) for the reasons set forth in item 6 of the Office action mailed 11/3/2005.

Applicant's arguments filed 1/3/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants question both the quantity and function of the silane in the

Page 3

reference method. The quantity used is irrelevant because the claims are wholly unlimited in this aspect. Regarding function, one of ordinary skill in the art would immediately conclude that the silane functions as a reactive component in the curing reaction, resulting in a silane crosslinked thermoplastic polymer, because components active in crosslinking reactions (i.e., resin, peroxides and vinyl triacetoxysilane) are present under expressly stated crosslinking reaction conditions. Applicants' argument regarding the presence of a metal catalyst is irrelevant because none of the claims requires the presence of a metal catalyst. Regarding the presence of moisture, the passage cited by applicants supports the prior holding that moisture is inherently present during the claimed process. As cited by applicants, the reference process is followed by vacuum drying, leading to the inevitable conclusion that moisture was present during the mixing process; otherwise, if no moisture were present during the

5. Claims 2 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

process, then no vacuum drying would be necessary.

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/660,916 Page 4

Art Unit: 1713

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roberto Rábago whose telephone number is (571) 272-1109. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Roberto Rábago Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1713